

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspbo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/895,903	06/28/2001	David Wallman	SUN-P6237-MEG	9483
	7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 508 SECOND STREET			ROCHE, TRENTON)	
SUITE 201 DAVIS, CA 95616			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/895,903	WALLMAN ET AL.			
riariosi y riodon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Trent J Roche	2124			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims raise new issues not previously required in the claims, specifically that of the agent being limited to grathering a current state of variables, and would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner.

KAKALI CHAKI GUDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100